

F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, JR., Wisconsin
CHAIRMAN

HENRY J. HYDE, Illinois
HOWARD COBLE, North Carolina
LAMAR S. SMITH, Texas
ELTON GALLEGLY, California
BOB GOODLATTE, Virginia
STEVE CHABOT, Ohio
WILLIAM L. JENKINS, Tennessee
CHRIS CANNON, Utah
SPENCER BACHUS, Alabama
JOHN N. HOSTETTLER, Indiana
MARK GREEN, Wisconsin
RIC KELLER, Florida
MELISSA A. HART, Pennsylvania
JEFF FLAKE, Arizona
MIKE PENCE, Indiana
J. RANDY FORBES, Virginia
STEVE KING, Iowa
JOHN R. CARTER, Texas
TOM FEENEY, Florida
MARSHA BLACKBURN, Tennessee

ONE HUNDRED EIGHTH CONGRESS

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

2138 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6216

(202) 225-3951

<http://www.house.gov/judiciary>

December 21, 2004

JOHN CONYERS, JR., Michigan
RANKING MINORITY MEMBER

HOWARD L. BERMAN, California
RICK BOUCHER, Virginia
JERROLD NADLER, New York
ROBERT C. "BOBBY" SCOTT, Virginia
MELVIN L. WATT, North Carolina
ZOE LOFGREN, California
SHEILA JACKSON LEE, Texas
MAXINE WATERS, California
MARTIN T. MEEHAN, Massachusetts
WILLIAM D. DELAHUNT, Massachusetts
ROBERT WEXLER, Florida
TAMMY BALDWIN, Wisconsin
ANTHONY D. WEINER, New York
ADAM B. SCHIFF, California
LINDA T. SANCHEZ, California

Mr. David Cobb
PO Box 57065
Washington, DC 20037

Honorable George W. Bush
United States President
310 First Street, S.E.
Washington, DC 20003

Mr. Donald McTigue
3886 North High Street
Columbus, OH 43214

Mr. Michael Badnarik:
Suite 100
6633 Highway 290 East
Austin, TX 78723

Mr. Richard A. Duncan
3108 North 43rd Drive
Phoenix, AZ 85031

Mr. James Harris
Socialist Workers Campaign
P.O. Box 20169
New York, NY 10014

Mr. John T. Parker
4436 ½ Camero Ave.
Los Angeles CA 90027

Mr. Michael Peroutka
Suite #303
8028 Ritchie Highway
Pasadena, MD 21122

Mr. Joe Schriener
101 ½ E. Kibler St.
Bluffton, OH 45817

Mr. Thomas F. Zych
2669 Edgehill Rd.
Cleveland Hts., OH 44106

Dear Candidates:

I write to you with an urgent request that you forward to my House Judiciary Committee staff any reports of irregularities or deviations from accepted law or practices during the ongoing recount in Ohio. Initial reports coming from various precincts across the state of Ohio indicate a widespread lack of compliance with the standard recount policies and procedures by individual Board of Elections officials and recount workers.

I am concerned that the disparate rules for the recount, which vary county by county, and ballot by ballot, may very well violate both the Due Process and Equal Protection Clause of the Constitution.¹ As the U.S. Supreme Court held in 2000, "Having once granted the right to vote

¹U.S. CONST. amends. V, XIV.

on equal terms, the State may not, by later arbitrary and disparate treatment, value one person's vote over that of another.”²

I am sure you are aware of Secretary of State Blackwell's Directive 2004-58 (enclosed), which details recount procedures. As you know, it was distributed to the Boards of Elections last week, and represents your rights as witnesses and participants in the recount. I am concerned, however, that many of these procedures are not being followed, and have received reports to that effect (described below). I hope that your volunteers do not encounter similar problems, but if they do, they have my full support in exerting your rights and I would like to be advised of such problems.

Tampering.

- Triad employees possibly accessed computers and tabulating machines before the recount and out of the presence of board members and witnesses in at least 41 counties.³ You have the right to have ballots secured until witnesses and board members are present.⁴
- At least one precinct in Medina County⁵ that would not have voting anomalies was both carefully pre-selected and pre-counted, so that the initial 3% recount that is mandated by the Ohio Secretary of State would not return a mismatch between the initial tally and the recount.⁵ You have the right to have the 3% randomly selected.⁶

²Bush v. Gore, 531 U.S. 98, 104-05 (2000).

³Tom Zeller, Jr., *Lawmaker Seeks Inquiry Into Ohio Vote*, THE NEW YORK TIMES, Dec. 15, 2004. Brett Rapp, President of Xenia, TRIAD, said it is standard procedure to prepare the machines for a recount and that TRIAD representatives went into all locations containing the equipment in approximately 41 counties. *Id.*

⁴OHIO REV. CODE § 3515.04 (West 2004).

⁵Report by Green Party Regional Coordinator, Dec. 13, 2004, posted at http://www.votecobb.org/recount/ohio_reports/counties/medina.php. According to Mr. Thomas E. Wolfe, Chairman of the Medina County Board of Elections, “I took two Medina precincts because I knew they had a high vote. ... I could have taken five precincts, but then we'd be counting for another hour... .

⁶J. Kenneth Blackwell, Directive No. 2004-58, Dec. 7, 2004 at 5.

- Ballots were altered during the 3% recount in Lucas County to make sure the machine and hand counts coincided, therefore avoiding a recount of the entire county.⁷ You have the right to ensure that ballots are not altered.⁸

Secrecy.

- Recount workers and observers were not permitted to view the preparation of the test ballots, the count of the test ballots, or the pretesting of the ballots and machines.⁹ You have the right to observe the testing of the equipment prior to the recount.¹⁰
- In Medina, no testing of the punch card machines were allowed.¹¹ You have the right to observe the testing of the equipment prior to the recount.¹²
- Uncounted provisional and absentee ballot envelopes were not available during the recount process in Medina.¹³ You have the right to observe all ballots.¹⁴

✓

⁷Report by Green Party Regional Coordinator, Dec. 15, 2004, posted at http://www.votecobb.org/recount/ohio_reports/counties/lucas.php. A witness for the 3% recount held in Toledo witnessed at least 3 ballots physically altered. "The apparent reason for this was to ensure that the vote count produced by the optical scan machine matched the hand count, and thus avoid hand-counting all of the ballots in the county. At least one of the election officials stated that she did not want the hand count and the machine count to be different because they did not want to do a complete hand count." *Id.*

⁸OHIO REV. CODE § 3599.16 (West 2004) (providing felony penalties for board members that mutilate any election records).

⁹See Report, *supra* note 4.

¹⁰OHIO REV. CODE § 3515.03-04 (West 2004), J. Kenneth Blackwell, Directive No. 2004-58, Dec. 7, 2004 at 3-6.

¹¹See Report, *supra* note 4.

¹²OHIO REV. CODE § 3515.03-04 (West 2004); J. Kenneth Blackwell, Directive No. 2004-58, Dec. 7, 2004 at 3-6.

¹³See Report, *supra* note 4.

¹⁴OHIO REV. CODE § 3515.03-04 (West 2004); J. Kenneth Blackwell, Directive No. 2004-58, Dec. 7, 2004 at 3.

- On more than one occasion, ballots with votes in both positions (Kerry/Edwards and Bush/Cheney) were not being rejected as overvotes, but were counted as votes for George Bush; and recount workers were not permitted to examine these ballots.¹⁵ You have the right to observe all ballots.¹⁶
- The proper procedure with respect to having a recount worker from each side count half of the ballots and then trade halves was not being followed in Medina.¹⁷ You have the right to inspect all ballots.¹⁸

Counting Violations.

- In Medina County, if the tabulator count did not match the hand count, the ballots were not hand counted a second time, as required by the Ohio Revised Code.¹⁹ You have right to have inconsistent results rechecked.²⁰
- The proper procedure with respect to the opening of the sealed containers that hold the ballots to be recounted in the presence of the recount workers and observers was not followed in Medina or in Jefferson County.²¹ You have the right to ensure that ballots are secure between the election and the official recount. Ballots may not be handled by anyone besides Board members and their staff, and may not be handled outside of the presence of the Board and qualifying witnesses.²²

¹⁵See Report, *supra* note 4.

¹⁶OHIO REV. CODE § 3515.03-04 (West 2004); J. Kenneth Blackwell, Directive No. 2004-58, Dec. 7, 2004 at 3.

¹⁷See Report, *supra* note 4.

¹⁸OHIO REV. CODE § 3515.03-04 (West 2004); J. Kenneth Blackwell, Directive No. 2004-58, Dec. 7, 2004 at 3.

¹⁹See Report, *supra* note 4.

²⁰OHIO REV. CODE § 3515.03-04 (West 2004); J. Kenneth Blackwell, Directive No. 2004-58, Dec. 7, 2004 at 5-6.


²¹See Report, *supra* note 4.

²²OHIO REV. CODE § 3515.04 (West 2004); J. Kenneth Blackwell, Directive No. 2004-58, Dec. 7, 2004 at 5-6.

- Disputed ballots were not being held out to be reviewed by the board, but were reviewed by one person.²³ You have right to have ballots inspected by the bipartisan board.²⁴

As you continue your participation in the recount of the Ohio vote and we continue our investigation of the voting irregularities, we hope to keep an open dialogue. Our objective here is not to overturn the election, but to win back the confidence of the electorate in the accuracy of voting methods and the fairness of voting procedures. If you have any irregularities to report, please contact the House Judiciary Committee Democratic Staff at (202) 225-6504 (phone), (202) 225-7680 (fax).

Sincerely,



John Conyers, Jr.
Ranking Member

²³See Report, *supra* note 4.

²⁴J. Kenneth Blackwell, Directive No. 2004-58, Dec. 7, 2004 at 3.